

(ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎನ್. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ)  
ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಉದ್ದೇಶವಾಗಿದೆ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

I will hold over the question if it is possible for the Government to give the additional information. I think information with regard to the rest of the questions is over. Proper information is to be furnished only to Sri Hutche Gowda's question.

Sri R. CHENNIGARAMIAH.—He wants information about the number of contractors.

Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA.—I want to know how much money is pending and for how many years.

### Remission of Land Revenue in Shimoga Taluk.

Q.—243. Sri R. NAGAPPA SETTY (Shimoga).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether it is a fact that during 1952-53 they have granted half of land revenue as remission in Shimoga Taluk due to the failure of rains in the said year;

(b) the reasons for the remission of assessment of land revenue not being given effect to such tenants under Muzrai temples, which are managed by Government;

(c) the reasons for not considering the representation given by tenants of Sri Brahmeswara Temple of Kudli Village of Shimoga Taluk for remission of half Gutta amount for the year 1952-53 in spite of repeated requests of these years?

A.—Sri M. P. PATIL (Minister for Revenue).—

(a) Yes.

(b) According to the rules regarding lease of lands belonging to Muzrai Institutions, the lessee is not entitled to any remission of the rent or to any compensation on account of seasonal conditions or any other cause whatever.

(c) Remission of Gutta cannot be sanctioned on the analogy of remission of Land Revenue as the two are governed by separate rules.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—1951ರಲ್ಲಿ ಗುತ್ತಾ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಒಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಮೂರರಷ್ಟು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸಿ ಬರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡದ್ದು ನಿಜವೇ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I shall explain the whole position. The lease of lands to the tenants is governed by certain rules. One of the terms of the rules is, the lessee is not entitled to any remission of the rent or to any compensation on account of seasonal conditions or any other cause whatever.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ನಾನು ಕೇಳಿದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಏನೆಂದರೆ, 1951ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಗುತ್ತಾಹಣವನ್ನು ಮೂರರಷ್ಟು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೊಸ ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಬರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡದ್ದು ನಿಜವೇ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—These lands are leased on contract and recoveries are made according to that contract. No remission can be given under the rules.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ನಾನು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದು ರೆಮಿಷನ್ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಅಲ್ಲ. ಕೆಲವೆಡೆ ರೈತರಿಂದ ಒಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಮೂರರಷ್ಟು ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಗುತ್ತಾ ಹಣ ರೆಕವರ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—If the Hon'ble Member brings to notice any particular case I will examine it. But the situation is, if tenant is taken under a contract the recoveries are made according to that contract and no remission can be given.

9-30 A.M.

The matter has been considered and these lands are governed under separate rules. Government has no authority to give remission in respect of these lands. Government have power to give remission to lands which are governed under the Land Revenue Rules. But these lands are governed under separate rules and under those rules, no remission can be given. That is one of the terms of the contract.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರೆ ನಾನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೆ. ಹಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಈಗ ಮೂರು ಬಾರಿ ಈ ರೀತಿ ಕಂದಾಯ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ಕಂದಾಯವನ್ನು ಮಾರ್ಪಡಿಸುವ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸುತ್ತದೆಯೇ ಎಂದು ನಾನು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Sri M. P. PATIL.—If any amount which is beyond the contract is recovered and if the Hon'ble Member brings such cases to my notice, I shall examine them.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Are not tenants entitled for reduction of rent when seasonal conditions fail, Sir?

**Sri M. P. PATIL.**—This is under separate rules. I will repeat what I said just now. Government has laid down certain rules for leasing lands belonging to Muzrai institutions. These rules are not applicable to all other lands. Rule 8 of those Rules says:

"Lessees are not entitled to remission of rent or any compensation on account of seasonal conditions whatsoever."

**Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.**—Are not the tenants governed by the Tenancy Act in that area, Sir?

**Sri M. P. PATIL.**—At that time, the Tenancy Act was not made applicable in that area. This is a case which relates to the year 1951-52. The Tenancy Act has been made applicable in this area since December 1953. Now that question does not arise. But the question is about remission relating to the year 1951-52 which cannot be given.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Question time is over.

Before we go to the next item, I would like to announce something about questions. The position about the questions is that the present list No. 5 is not exhausted. It will be taken up day after tomorrow. The sixth list is also ready and it contains about 14 questions. This list, namely, fifth List contains 14 questions which have to be taken up. So, 14 plus 14, comes to 28 and that is the number of questions we to deal with. Replies to other questions have not as yet been received in this office. The number of questions which have to be answered and replies to which have to be received from Government is 206. I do not think it will be possible for us to get replies to such a large number of questions. If however, if answers to questions are received from Government in time, they will be placed before the House and will be taken up.

**Sri B. S. KUSHALAPPA.**—So many members may not be here next time.

## PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE.

### Notification.

**Sri M. P. PATIL** (Minister for Revenue).—Sir, under sub-section (4)

of Section 6 of the Mysore Sales-Tax Act, 1948, I lay before the House copies of Notification No. RD. 6-STX-57, dated 9th March 1957 issued under sub-section (2) and sub-section (3b) of Section 6 of the Mysore Sales-Tax Act, 1948.

## BUDGET FOR 1957-58. DEMANDS FOR GRANTS "ON ACCOUNT"

(Discussion continued.)

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—I would like to say a few words in this connection. The whole of yesterday has been taken for discussion. Only five members have taken part. That means we have been very slow. I would like that the progress would be rather fast today. I do not intend to prescribe a time-limit. However, I would request Hon'ble Members to have some sort of restraint so far as time-limit is concerned.

With these remarks, I initiate the debate. I call upon Sri Huteche Gowda to make his remarks.

\*ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ (ತುರುವೇಕೆರೆ).—ಸ್ವಾಮೀ, ಈ ಬಡ್ಡೆಟ್ ಆನ್ ಅಕೌಂಟು ಮೇಲೆ ಇದೇ ಕೊನೆಯ ಸಾರಿಯಾಗಿ ಮಾತನಾಡುವಾಗ ನಾವು ಕಳೆದ ಐದು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಏನು ಸಾಧನೆ ಮಾಡಿದೆವು ಎನ್ನುವ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದೆರಡು ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಇಷ್ಟಪಡುತ್ತೇನೆ. ನಾವು ಈ ಕಳೆದ ಐದು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಏನೇನು ಹೆಮ್ಮೆಯಿಂದ ಸಾಧಿಸಿದೆವು, ಏನೇನು ಕಾರ್ಯಗತಪಡಿಸಿದೆವು ಎಂಬ ವಿಚಾರಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಅದರ ಸವಿನೆನಪುಗಳನ್ನು ನಿಮ್ಮತ್ತೆರಾಗುವಾಗ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಭಾವನೆಯಿಂದ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅದರ ನಾವು ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ತುಂಬ ಹಿಂದೆ ಉಳಿದಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಜನ ಜೀವನದ ಮಟ್ಟವನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ, ಜನತೆಯ ನೈತಿಕ ಮಟ್ಟವನ್ನು, ಜ್ಞಾನದ ಮಟ್ಟವನ್ನು, ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಮಟ್ಟವನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾರದ ಸ್ಥಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಇಂತಹ ಭಾವನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಕಾರಣಗಳೇನೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ನಾನು ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಇಡುವುದು ಅತ್ಯವಶ್ಯಕ.

ಮೊದಲನೆಯದಾಗಿ ಈ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ಸು ಆಡಳಿತದ ಕಾರ ವಹಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ ಮೇಲೆ ಹಿಂದಿನ ಸರ್ಕಾರಗಳು ಯಾವರೀತಿ ಏನಾದರೂ ಜನೋಪಯುಕ್ತವಾದ ಕಾರ್ಯವಾಗಬೇಕಾದರೆ, ಕಮಿಟಿಗಳು, ಕಮಿಷನ್ ಗಳು, ಎಕ್ಸೈಸರಿ ಇತ್ಯಾದಿಗಳನ್ನು ನೇಮಿಸಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟುಗಳು ಬಂದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವನ್ನು ಕನಡ ಬುಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಕುವ ನೀತಿಯನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸುತ್ತಾ ಬಂದಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ನಾವು ಕಳೆದ ಕೆಲವು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದಲೂ

\*A sterisk indicates that the remarks or speeches have not been revised by the Member concerned.